Is Medical Research Informing Professional Practice More Highly Cited? Evidence from AHFS DI Essentials in Drugs.com¹

Mike Thelwall, Kayvan Kousha, Mahshid Abdoli Statistical Cybermetrics Research Group, University of Wolverhampton, UK.

Citation-based indicators are often used to help evaluate the impact of published medical studies, even though the research has the ultimate goal of improving human wellbeing. One direct way of influencing health outcomes is by guiding physicians and other medical professionals about which drugs to prescribe. A high profile source of this guidance is the AHFS DI Essentials product of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, which gives systematic information for drug prescribers. AHFS DI Essentials documents, which are also indexed by Drugs.com, include references to academic studies and the referenced work is therefore helping patients by guiding drug prescribing. This article extracts AHFS DI Essentials documents from Drugs.com and assesses whether articles referenced in these information sheets have their value recognised by higher Scopus citation counts. A comparison of mean log-transformed citation counts between articles that are and are not referenced in AHFS DI Essentials shows that AHFS DI Essentials references are more highly cited than average for the publishing journal. This suggests that medical research influencing drug prescribing is more cited than average.

Keywords: Clinical research; citation analysis; Clinical practice; Drug prescribing; Drugs.com

Introduction

Citation counts are important in medical fields to help research evaluation. In the UK Research Excellence Framework (REF) 2014, although only 11 of the 36 disciplinary areas into which all research was categorised drew upon citation counts, these 11 included Clinical Medicine and the two other health fields (Wilsdon, Allen, Belfiore, Campbell, Curry, et al. 2015). Citations counts and related indicators, such as Journal Impact Factors and the hindex, may also be used in appointments, promotion, tenure and funding decisions (e.g., Curry, 2012; Feder & Madara, 2008), although various bodies have outlawed some or all of these (Schekman & Patterson, 2013). Citation counts have well-known limitations because they do not directly measure research value. In particular, applied research can be useful for non-academics that do not cite it and so can be undervalued by traditional citation counts (e.g., biomedical research: Lewison, & Dawson, 1998). It is therefore important to seek other indicators for different types of research value (Priem, Taraborelli, Groth, & Neylon, 2010) including in the medical sciences (Barbic, Tubman, Lam, & Barbic, 2016; Scarlat, Mavrogenis, Pećina, & Niculescu, M, 2015). One way in which medical research can be useful is by influencing medicine prescribing by clinicians. Although previous studies have shown that it is possible to get evidence of clinical impact from citations in UK health professional guidelines (Grant, 1999; Grant, Cottrell, Cluzeau, & Fawcett, 2000; Kryl, Allen, Dolby, Sherbon, & Viney, 2012; Lewison & Sullivan, 2008; Thelwall & Maflahi, 2016) and indirectly from mainly U.S.-based clinical trials (Thelwall & Kousha, 2016), additional sources

¹ Thelwall, M., Kousha, K. & Abdoli, M. (in press). Is medical research informing professional practice more highly cited? Evidence from AHFS DI Essentials in Drugs.com. Scientometrics. doi:10.1007/s11192-017-2292-3

of evidence are needed for a more complete picture, including for the key step of drug prescribing.

In the biomedical field, citations in various forms are widely used in research evaluation. These include simple citation counts, Journal Impact Factors (JIFs) and the h-index, despite the acknowledged limitations of all of these. The importance of using some form of citation analysis for biomedical research has been implicitly acknowledge by the creation of a new indicator, The Relative Citation Ratio (RCR), by the Office of Portfolio Analysis, National Institutes of Health (Hutchins, Yuan, Anderson, Santangelo, 2016). The purpose of the RCR is to overcome many of the limitations of other indicators through the use of a complex algorithm to minimise the risk that articles are unfairly treated in citation comparisons. Nevertheless, citation-based algorithms cannot measure the clinical value of research. It role is to support rather than replace expert judgements.

The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) is a professional association to provide support for, and advocacy on behalf of, pharmacists in hospitals and other parts of the health system in the U.S.A. It produces, amongst other things, "an evidence-based foundation for safe and effective drug therapy" (www.ashp.org/menu/AboutUs/WhatWeDo). Its key product for practitioners is AHFS DI Essentials, which gives a range of information about drugs to inform decisions about whether to prescribe them and how to identify problems with patients taking them. This appears to be an independent evidence-based source of drug information because ASHP publishes clear guidelines for this (http://www.ahfsdruginformation.com/editorialindependence/). Each medication has a self-contained document (called a monograph) that is underpinned by traditional academic references through a reference list at the end. The documents are intended to support prescribing in the U.S.A. but, through their hosting on the website drugs.com, also reach an international audience. Drugs.com was the most popular pharmacy website in the world, according to Alexa.com in December 2016 (http://www.alexa.com/topsites/category/Top/Health/Pharmacy), with half (48.5%) of its visitors originating from the U.S.A., but India, the UK, Canada and Australia also having substantial numbers of users (http://www.alexa.com/siteinfo/drugs.com).

Because of its authoritative origins and the presence of references, AHFS DI Essentials is a suitable new source of evidence about scholarly articles that have had an impact on prescribing practices. In contrast to the free online UK NICE Guidelines (Thelwall & Maflahi, 2016), it focuses on drugs rather than illnesses, and is not an official national source of information. Nevertheless, its extensive and focused collection of medical references is potentially useful as applied medical impact evidence. There are other similar national sources of drug information, such as the *British National Formulary* (BNF) pharmaceutical reference book from the UK's Royal Pharmaceutical Society and British Medical Association, and *Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference* from the UK's Royal Pharmaceutical Society publishing house, but AHFS DI Essentials is one of the few that is free online.

Research questions

Articles referenced in AHFS DI Essentials apparently have a direct impact on prescribing practice in the U.S.A. and therefore successfully achieve the main goal of medical research: improving health outcomes. Nevertheless, the authors of the referenced articles are likely to be evaluated by the publishing venue (the perceived value of the journal) or their citation counts in the form of a h-index or a total citation count. If articles referenced in AHFS DI

Essentials tend not to be highly cited, then the contributions of their authors would be undervalued in research evaluations. This is possible because basic medical research attracts citations from both basic and applied research, whereas applied research attracts few basic citations in medicine (Narin, Pinski, & Gee, 1976). Hence the main research question is to check whether the traditional research evaluation source of quantitative evidence, academic citations, undervalues AHFS DI Essentials references.

• Are articles referenced in AHFS DI Essentials less cited than average?

Methods

The overall research design was to extract all references from AHFS DI Essentials, identify the most cited journals, and compare the citation counts of the AHFS DI Essentials references in these journals with the remaining articles to see if they tend to be more cited. Although a more standard approach would be to compare papers within individual Scopus or Web of Science fields rather than within individual journals, a journal-based approach is preferable for greater specificity. In particular, several important medical journals are generalist (e.g., NEJM, Lancet, JAMA) and therefore not amenable to fine-grained field categorisation. In contrast, a focus on journals allows the finest grained classification possible (at least for any journal-based classification scheme, c.f.: Small, Sweeney, & Greenlee, 1985) without the possibility that the results are tainted by inappropriate or multiple journal classifications. Another advantage is that medical professionals may focus on specific high impact journals (as claimed by: Goldacre, 2009), which may distort the relationship between the value of an article and the number of times it is cited, undermining field-wide analyses. Two disadvantages of a focus on journals are reduced statistical power from fewer articles to analyse in each individual test and reduced statistical power from losing the differentiation between higher and lower average quality journals in the same field. Moreover, industry-funded research may tend to be published in higher impact, more general journals (Jefferson, Di Pietrantonj, Debalini, Rivetti, & Demicheli, 2009b) which will affect the results to some extent, although this would also be true for a field-based classification scheme if industry articles received a citation boost from their presence in higher impact journals.

Although AHFS DI Essentials references include books, reviews, meta-analyses, and other documents the analysis here focuses on standard journal articles because these are the primary vehicle for publishing original research and therefore the most important type of cited document from a research evaluation perspective.

The AHFS DI Essentials documents are not publically available from the originating organisation's website and so they were accessed from Drugs.com instead. The Drugs.com sitemap (https://www.drugs.com/sitemap index.xml.gz) was used to identify the 2417 AHFS DI Essentials pages in the site (with URLs starting with https://www.drugs.com/monograph/) and these were downloaded using the free web crawler SocSciBot (socscibot.wlv.ac.uk) on 21 October 2016, using a slow ethical crawl (Thelwall & Stuart, 2006). The references on these pages were extracted using a program added to the free software Webometric Analyst (lexiurl.wlv.ac.uk: Drugs.com: Extract references in the Services menu). The metadata from articles in the ten most cited journals identified in the reference lists was then downloaded from the Scopus website in November 2016, covering the 21 years from 1996 (when Scopus expanded its coverage) to 2016. Only documents of Scopus type Journal Article, excluding reviews, were downloaded. One of the journals, Drugs, had few articles in recent years (most were classified by Scopus as other

document types) and so the 11th journal largest was also downloaded as an addition. Scopus was chosen in preference to the Web of Science for its greater coverage of the literature, with the latter essentially forming a subset of the former (Archambault, Campbell, Gingras, & Larivière, 2009; Moed & Visser, 2008).

The next stage was to match the AHFS DI Essentials references with the Scopus articles for the 11 journals. Although the AHFS DI Essentials references were reasonably standardised, they did not include DOIs, used abbreviated journal names and included many nonstandard documents, making the matching process not straightforward. To ensure accuracy, articles were matched based on PubMed IDs, ignoring articles in AHFS DI Essentials and Scopus without PubMed IDs. Thus, for each journal, two lists of articles were created: one with PubMed IDs and matching a AHFS DI Essentials PubMed ID, and one with PubMed IDs but no matching AHFS DI Essentials PubMed ID. Articles from journals in years with no AHFS DI Essentials references were discarded as unnecessary for the subsequent indicator calculations.

For each journal, the year-normalised average citation count of articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials was calculated by comparing it to the remaining articles in the journal. The Mean Normalised Log Citation Score (MNLCS) was used for this (Thelwall, 2017). This calculation is appropriate for individual academic journals because their citations tend to be highly skewed (de Solla Price, 1976), following hooked power law distribution (Thelwall, 2016a) and the MNLCS incorporates a logarithmic transformation to eliminate this skewing. MNLCS is preferable to MNCS (Waltman, van Eck, van Leeuwen, Visser, & van Raan, 2011ab) because of the skewed nature of citation counts, an issue that is also not dealt with by the Relative Citation Ratio (RCR) (Hutchins, Yuan, Anderson, Santangelo, 2016).

For each AHFS DI Essentials article d, its citation count c_d is replaced by $ln(1 + c_d)/y(c_d)$ where $y(c_d)$ is the arithmetic mean of the ln(1 + c) values for all articles published in the same journal and year as d, excluding the matching AHFS DI Essentials articles (to increase statistical power). The MNLCS value for the journal is then the arithmetic mean of all the adjusted citation counts $ln(1 + c_d)/y(c_d)$. This calculation is not biased against newer articles because the citation count of each article is normalised against other articles from the same year. Although this gives an advantage to articles published earlier in the year, this should not introduce a source of systematic bias for or against AHFS DI Essentials references. Confidence intervals were calculated using Fieller's (1954) formula for the ratio of two normal distributions, which is a conservative approach because it treats the journal citation average as an estimate rather than a precise value (see also: Thelwall, 2017, in press).

Results

For each journal, the year-normalised average number of citations per article is higher than the journal average, and the difference is statistically significant in all cases (Table 1). Surprisingly, the highest impact general medical journals are at the top of the list and the difference between individual journals is statistically significant for the top journals (as a rule of thumb, if the confidence intervals do not overlap or only overlap a little then the difference is statistically significant). Additional investigations, as described below, were conducted to explore and check the results.

Table 1. Year-normalised average log citations (MNLCS) for articles referenced by *AHFS DI Essentials* references for the 11 journals with the most articles. Journals are ordered by MNLCS.

	AHFS-					
	cited		Journal	First	Last	
Journal	articles	MNLCS (95% CI)	articles	year	year	Years
The Lancet	336	1.89 (1.84,1.94)	11749	1996	2015	20
JAMA	171	1.58 (1.51, 1.65)	5897	1996	2016	19
New England Journal of Medicine	613	1.47 (1.45, 1.49)	6064	1996	2015	20
Circulation	114	1.36 (1.32, 1.41)	14270	1996	2015	20
BMJ	36	1.36 (1.23, 1.48)	5230	1996	2012	16
Journal of Clinical Oncology	377	1.31 (1.29, 1.34)	10360	1996	2015	20
Clinical Infectious Diseases	201	1.29 (1.25, 1.33)	8307	1996	2016	21
Archives of Internal Medicine/						
JAMA Internal Medicine	50	1.19 (1.12, 1.25)	3542	1996	2013	13
Antimicrobial Agents &						
Chemotherapy	364	1.18 (1.15, 1.21)	13533	1996	2015	20
Pediatrics	54	1.16 (1.08, 1.23)	7022	1996	2015	16
Drugs	56	1.12 (1.05, 1.19)	417	1996	2015	12

Despite the overall average citation advantage, individual articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials may still be less cited than average for the publishing journal. For example, 14 of the 336 AHFS-referenced articles in the top journal in Table 1, The Lancet, had below average citation counts. An examination of these found many that were not standard research articles (Table 2), explaining their low citation counts. Nevertheless, the prevalence of non-articles within the Lancet Scopus results suggests that the high MNLCS values for AHFS-cited articles in The Lancet in Table 1 may be at least partly due to the presence of non-article document types classified as articles in Scopus within the *remainder* of the journal (additional manual checks found similar classification errors in some of the remaining articles in this journal). Similar problems occurred in eight of the remaining ten journals (see Appendix and Table 3).

	Scopus	Norm.	Article	Type in journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			WHO launches cautiously optimistic report on	News
1998	0	0.00	health	
			Timeframe for thrombolysis in acute ischaemic	Comment
2008	2	0.36	stroke	
1996	4	0.54	Treatment of Kawasaki disease	Commentary
			Acute pancreatitis during treatment with	Research Letter
1997	8	0.68	amiodarone	
1999	12	0.83	Hypercalcaemia in sarcoidosis	Case Report
1998	9	0.84	Raloxifene-associated hepatitis	Research Letter
1998	10	0.87	Experts argue about tamoxifen prevention trial	News
			Aberrant atrioventricular conduction triggered by	Research Letter
1997	16	0.88	antimalarial prophylaxis with mefloquine	
2011	33	0.90	Spider bite	Seminar
			Fatal toxic epidermal necrolysis associated with	Research Letter
1997	19	0.93	mefloquine antimalarial prophylaxis	
			Pharmacological implications of lengthened in-	Research Letter
2000	19	0.96	utero exposure to nevirapine	
			Single-dose ciprofloxacin versus 12-dose	Article
			erythromycin for childhood cholera: A randomised	
2005	33	0.99	controlled trial	
			Alteplase for ischaemic stroke-much sooner is	Comment
2010	23	0.99	much better	
			Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine	Article
			regimen in infant children of breastfeeding	
2012	60	0.99	mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention	

Table 2. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *The Lancet*.

The second journal in Table, 1, JAMA, also has papers classified as articles in Scopus that are classified as something else in the JAMA website (Table 3). In addition, some JAMA papers that were classified as articles in Scopus and in the JAMA website were not classical journal articles. For (http://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/articleexample, Typhoid fever abstract/418141) has a subtitle Case Presentation and starts, "A 35-year-old Maryland woman came to her local hospital on December 4, 1994, complaining of fever and chills for 1 week." This is clearly not a traditional medical journal article. Similarly, the two different articles with the same title, "From the Food and Drug Administration" (http://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/articleabstract/418112 and http://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/415730) are news stories even though they are both labelled "Article" by JAMA and Scopus. The first one has subtitle State Contracts for Enforcement of FDA Tobacco Regulations and starts, "The FDA is contracting with states throughout the country to help enforce requirements of the new tobacco regulation to protect children and adolescents." Hence the problem here is the non-standard labelling of outputs by JAMA and copying by Scopus.

	Scopus	Norm.	Article	Type in journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
1997	0	0.00	From the Food and Drug Administration.	Article
1997	1	0.21	From the Food and Drug Administration.	Article
			Life-threatening sepsis associated with	Brief Report
			adjuvant doxorubicin plus docetaxel for	
2005	37	0.71	intermediate-risk breast cancer	
			Comparison of annual and biannual mass	Article
			antibiotic administration for elimination of	
2008	42	0.76	infectious trachoma	
			Multidrug resistance among persons with	Article
2005	55	0.78	tuberculosis in California, 1994-2003	
				Grand Rounds/
				Clinician's
2007	60	0.81	Adult cystic fibrosis	Corner
			Effect of a single mass antibiotic distribution	Article
2006	71	0.81	on the prevalence of infectious trachoma	
			Botulism in 4 adults following cosmetic	Brief Report
			injections with an unlicensed, highly	
2006	77	0.83	concentrated botulinum preparation	
			Growth hormone - Releasing hormone in HIV-	Preliminary
			infected men with lipodystrophy: A	Communication
2004	81	0.85	randomized controlled trial	
				Grand Rounds/
			Atypical fractures as a potential complication	Clinician's
2010	55	0.85	of long-term bisphosphonate therapy	Corner
1997	16	0.86	Typhoid fever	Article
			Importance of Surrogate Markers in	Article
			Evaluation of Antiviral Therapy for HIV	
1996	17	0.88	Infection	
			Antibody response to measles-mumps-rubella	Article
			vaccine of children with mild illness at the	
1996	23	0.97	time of vaccination	
			Sildenafil treatment of women with	Article
2000			antidepressant-associated sexual dysfunction:	
2008	124	0.98	A randomized controlled trial	

Table 3. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *JAMA*.

Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy (Table 4) and the Journal of Clinical Oncology (Table 5) do not seem to have non-standard article types classified as articles in Scopus, as confirmed by additional random checks on low cited articles. Thus, these journals give the clearest evidence that articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials are more highly cited than comparable articles from the same journal. The lists in Tables 4 and 5 also gives concrete evidence that articles useful enough to be references in AHFS DI Essentials do not necessarily attract many Scopus citations.

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Phase I clinical and pharmacologic trial of intravenous	Article
2002	17	0.64	estramustine phosphate	
			Combination of consecutive low-dose cisplatin with	Article
			bleomycin, vincristine, and mitomycin for recurrent cervical	
1998	19	0.68	carcinoma	
			Fatal toxic epidermal necrolysis associated with cetuximab	Article
2008	17	0.71	in a patient with colon cancer	
			Phase III randomized trial comparing cisplatin and	Article
			carboplatin with or without ifosfamide in patients with	
1998	28	0.76	advanced non-small-cell lung cancer	
			Ninety-six-hour infusional paclitaxel as salvage therapy of	Article
			ovarian cancer patients previously failing treatment with 3-	
1998	32	0.79	hour or 24-hour paclitaxel infusion regimens	
			Paclitaxel in combination chemotherapy with radiotherapy	Article
			in patients with unresectable stage III non-small-cell lung	
1996	32	0.79	cancer	
			Study of paclitaxel, etoposide, and cisplatin chemotherapy	Article
			combined with twice-daily thoracic radiotherapy for	
			patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer: A	
2005	34	0.81	Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 9609 phase II study	
			Side effects related to cancer treatment: Case 2. Splenic	Article
2005	35	0.82	rupture following pegfilgrastim	
			Phase I and pharmacologic study of high doses of the	Article
			topoisomerase I inhibitor topotecan with granulocyte	
1996	38	0.83	colony-stimulating factor in patients with solid tumors	
			Phase II study of carmustine, dacarbazine, cisplatin, and	Article
			tamoxifen in advanced melanoma: A southwest oncology	
1998	42	0.85	group study	
			Neurotoxicity and ototoxicity of cisplatin plus paclitaxel in	Article
			comparison to cisplatin plus cyclophosphamide in patients	
1997	37	0.86	with epithelial ovarian cancer	
			Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of a new taxoid, RPR	Article
			109881A, given as a 1-hour intravenous infusion in patients	
2000	44	0.86	with advanced solid tumors	
			Fluorouracil plus racemic leucovorin versus fluorouracil	Article
			combined with the pure I-isomer of leucovorin for the	
4007	20	0.00	treatment of advanced colorectal cancer: A randomized	
1997	38	0.86	phase III study	A
			Prospectively randomized north central cancer treatment	Article
			group that of intensive-course incorouracii combined with	
			inter-isonner of intravenous leucovorin, oral leucovorin, or	
1007	A1	0.00	coloroctal cancor	
1991	41	0.88	Dhace II randomized trial of callium nitrate alue fluereuro :	Articla
			riase in anuonnized that of gallium mitiate plus morouracii	Article
1007	Л1	0 88	in nations with advanced transitional call carcinoma	
1997	41	0.88	versus methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin in patients with advanced transitional-cell carcinoma	

Table 4. Top 20 articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations thanaverage for the publication year in *Journal of Clinical Oncology*.

			Cisplatin, etoposide, and paclitaxel in the treatment of	Article
1999	47	0.88	patients with extensive small-cell lung carcinoma	
2007	36	0.88	Bevacizumab 5 mg/kg can be infused safely over 10 minutes	Article
			Rapid recovery of spermatogenesis after mitoxantrone,	Article
			vincristine, vinblastine, and prednisone chemotherapy for	
1997	45	0.90	Hodgkin's disease	
			Phase I and pharmacologic study of the tyrosine kinase	Article
1999	56	0.92	inhibitor SU101 in patients with advanced solid tumors	
			Multicenter phase II trial of interleukin-2, interferon- α , and	Article
			13-cis- retinoic acid in patients with metastatic renal-cell	
1998	60	0.93	carcinoma	

Table 5. The top 20 articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citationsthan average for the publication year in Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy.

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Pharmacokinetic interaction of megestrol acetate with	Article
			zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected	
1997	0	0.00	patients	
			Bioavailability of once- and twice-daily regimens of	Article
			didanosine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected	
2000	5	0.48	children	
1996	5	0.53	Penetration of ceftibuten into middle ear fluid	Article
			Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in saliva:	Article
			Implications for preexposure prophylaxis of oral HIV	
2011	4	0.55	acquisition	
			Lack of effect of concomitant zidovudine on rifabutin	Article
1996	6	0.58	kinetics in patients with AIDS-related complex	
			Penetration of ceftriaxone (1 or 2 grams intravenously)	Article
1996	6	0.58	into mediastinal and cardiac tissues in humans	
			Absence of effect of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole on	Article
			pharmacokinetics of zidovudine in patients infected with	
1996	7	0.62	human immunodeficiency virus	
			Dose separation does not overcome the pharmacokinetic	Article
2006	8	0.63	interaction between fosamprenavir and lopinavir/ritonavir	
			Rifabutin absorption in the gut unaltered by concomitant	Article
1997	9	0.64	administration of didanosine in AIDS patients	
			A multiple drug interaction study of stavudine with agents	Article
			for opportunistic infections in human immunodeficiency	
1999	10	0.65	virus-infected patients	
			Nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-t-	Article
			butylamidenelfinavir (M8), are transferred in small	
			quantities to breast milk and do not reach biologically	
			significant concentrations in breast-feeding infants whose	
2011	6	0.67	mothers are taking nelfinavir	
			Investigation of bioequivalence and tolerability of	Article
			intramuscular ceftriaxone injections by using 1% lidocaine,	
1996	9	0.68	buttered lidocaine, and sterile water diluents	
2014	3	0.70	EUCAST testing of isavuconazole susceptibility in	Article

		Aspergillus: Comparison of results for inoculum	
		standardization using conidium counting versus optical	
		density	
		Avibactam and class C β -lactamases: Mechanism of	Article
		inhibition, conservation of the binding pocket, and	
3	0.70	implications for resistance	
		In vitro efficacy of antibiotics commonly used to treat	Article
7	0.71	human plague against intracellular Yersinia pestis	
		Pharmacokinetic interaction study of ritonavir-boosted	Article
		saquinavir in combination with rifabutin in healthy	
7	0.71	subjects	
		Pharmacokinetic study of cefodizime and ceftriaxone in	Article
13	0.73	sera and bones of patients undergoing hip arthroplasty	
		Penciclovir susceptibilities of herpes simplex virus isolates	Article
		from patients using penciclovir cream for treatment of	
13	0.73	recurrent herpes labialis	
		Effect of fluconazole on the steady-state pharmacokinetics	Article
		of delavirdine in human immunodeficiency virus-positive	
14	0.75	patients	
		Diminished ciprofloxacin-induced chondrotoxicity by	Article
		supplementation with magnesium and vitamin E in	
12	0.76	immature rats	
	3 7 7 13 13 14 12	3 0.70 7 0.71 7 0.71 13 0.73 13 0.73 14 0.75 12 0.76	Aspergillus: Comparison of results for inoculum standardization using conidium counting versus optical densityAvibactam and class C β -lactamases: Mechanism of inhibition, conservation of the binding pocket, and0.70implications for resistance1In vitro efficacy of antibiotics commonly used to treat human plague against intracellular Yersinia pestis70.719Pharmacokinetic interaction study of ritonavir-boosted saquinavir in combination with rifabutin in healthy sera and bones of patients undergoing hip arthroplasty70.739Penciclovir susceptibilities of herpes simplex virus isolates from patients using penciclovir cream for treatment of 0.73130.73140.759Diminished ciprofloxacin-induced chondrotoxicity by supplementation with magnesium and vitamin E in 12120.76

The higher average citation impact of articles in Journal of Clinical Oncology and Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy that are referenced by AHFS DI Essentials could be due to changes in classification practices in the journals over time or miss-classifications for a single issue, but this can be checked for by monitoring the results over time. Since the citation impact of articles referenced in AHFS DI Essentials is above the world average in every year (Figures 1 and 2), it is clear that isolated misclassifications or changes over time cannot explain the impact difference. To further check this, the smallest set of world articles from a single year was investigated in more detail, the 204 articles from 2015 in Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy. All of these were correctly classified as articles, confirming that misclassifications are not a problem for this journal. The shortest article had only two pages (In vitro susceptibility testing of eravacycline is unaffected by medium age and nonstandard assay parameters) but these are labelled in the same way as long form articles and are clearly genuine research articles. This journal allows authors to submit short articles, but both are given equally rigorous reviewing and so it seems reasonable to regard them as equivalent, "The Short-Form format is intended for the presentation of brief observations that do not warrant full-length papers. Submit Short-Form papers in the same way as full-length papers. They receive the same review, they are not published more rapidly than full-length papers, and they are not considered preliminary communications." (http://aac.asm.org/site/misc/journal-ita_org.xhtml#05). Nevertheless, longer articles tend to be slightly more cited (Pearson correlation 0.220 between page length and the natural log of citation counts plus one) and so it is possible that they are more valuable overall. This cannot explain the AHFS DI Essentials references advantage, however, since in 2015 they have an average length of 5.2 pages, whereas the journal average for the year is 6.3. Thus, the finding for Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy in 2015 is not due to different article types (because there aren't any) or lengths (because AHFS DI Essentials references cite shorter papers but longer papers in the journal tend to be more cited).



Figure 1. MNLCS values for articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials in the *Journal of Clinical Oncology* by year, together with 95% confidence intervals. Values above 1 indicate citation impact that is above average for the journal.



Figure 2. MNLCS values for articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials in the *Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy* by year, together with 95% confidence intervals. Values above 1 indicate citation impact that is above average for the journal.

Discussion

There are different possible reasons why articles referenced in AHFS DI Essentials tend to be more cited than average for the publishing general or specialist journal. For nine of the eleven examined journals, the reason is at least partly technical: submissions other than articles are classified as articles within Scopus, deflating the average citation count of all articles for the journal and therefore inflating the normalised citation count of genuine articles. A similar phenomenon has previously been observed for entire Scopus categories, with publications in trade journals classified as academic articles (Thelwall, 2016b).

For at least two journals in which articles referenced in AHFS DI Essentials are genuinely more highly cited than average, there are several possible explanations. Drugspecific research may be more citable than other medical research. This would explain the higher citation rates for general medical journals but not for specialist journals like *Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy* (and *Drugs*). A second possibility is that being mentioned by AHFS DI Essentials gives an article publicity and helps it to generate additional citations. Conversely, more highly cited articles may be more likely to be selected by AHFS DI Essentials since they are more well-known for practical clinical studies. Both of these seem likely to be true to some extent. Unfortunately, it is not possible to separate these awareness reasons from the possibility that AHFS DI Essentials references are more useful than typical articles from the publishing journal.

The higher citation rates of AHFS DI Essentials references is unsurprising given similar evidence from a source of clinical guidelines (Thelwall & Maflahi, 2016) and clinical trials (Thelwall & Kousha, 2016). Since basic medical research seems to be more citable than clinical research (Narin, Pinski, & Gee, 1976) it may be that *successful* applied (clinical) research tends to be more highly cited than average in medicine. This may occur, for example, because research with practical medical applications (e.g., identifying side-effects or the effects of drugs on breastfeeding or pregnancy) is likely to trigger replication studies as well as follow-up research to check the findings in other contexts, for other diseases and with combinations of drugs. Moreover, other researchers may be inspired to take a similar approach to tackle a related problem. In contrast, it seems possible that more applied research in other areas tends to lead to a specific useful product or idea that is put into practice (e.g., in education) without generating follow-up research.

The apparent trend for higher MNLCS values for more recent years (Figures 1 and 2) does not have a clear explanation. Perhaps the most recent additions to AHFS DI Essentials references tend to be articles that more obviously have higher value than articles that have become accepted after a longer period of time.

A limitation of this study is that citations in drug guides should not be taken as definitive evidence of applied medical research value. Even official government sources can use incorrect or inadequate references and in any case may (and probably should) reference high quality systematic reviews, when available, instead of primary sources (Jefferson, Di Pietrantonj, Debalini, Rivetti, & Demicheli, 2009a). Moreover, although references in drug guides seem intuitively likely to have informed the advice, no study has definitively proven this and the choice of references may be influenced by national and other biases. Another limitation is that not all articles not cited by AHFS were checked for accurate Scopus classifications as articles. This would be time consuming to do and so only one year was checked (2015 in *Antimicrobial Agents & Chemotherapy* - see above) to confirm that not all of the results could be the result of misclassifications (or differing paper lengths).

Conclusions

The methods show that it is practical to extract AHFS DI Essentials references as evidence of the applied value of medical research. Since these articles tend to be more highly cited than average for the publishing journal, their extra value may already be recognised by their traditional academic citations, however. Thus, researchers that are concerned with their

own citation counts should not be discouraged from attempting to run useful clinical studies.

For individual researchers, an additional practical use of AHFS DI Essentials references is to support a claim for clinical impact (e.g., informing practice, side-effects). At the time of writing, the simplest way to identify such references would be to run a site-specific Google search for the author's last name of the form [name] site:drugs.com/monograph, such as Anakwenze site:drugs.com/monograph. For systematically gathering information for research evaluation exercises, the crawling methods discussed above can be used.

A corollary to the analysis in this study is that those using field normalised citation counts from Scopus for medical areas are likely to get inflated values due to the misclassification of non-articles as articles. This is likely to have affected the UK REF2014, for example.

References

- Archambault, É., Campbell, D., Gingras, Y., & Larivière, V. (2009). Comparing bibliometric statistics obtained from the Web of Science and Scopus. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 60(7), 1320-1326.
- Barbic, D., Tubman, M., Lam, H., & Barbic, S. (2016). An analysis of altmetrics in emergency medicine. Academic Emergency Medicine, 23(3), 251-265.
- Curry, S. (2012). Eyes on the prize are blind to reality. *Occam's Typewriter*. <u>http://occamstypewriter.org/scurry/2012/04/22/eyes-on-the-prize-are-blind-to-</u> <u>reality/</u>
- de Solla Price, D. (1976). A general theory of bibliometric and other cumulative advantage processes. Journal of the American society for Information science, 27(5), 292-306.
- Feder, M. E., & Madara, J. L. (2008). Evidence-based appointment and promotion of academic faculty at the University of Chicago. Academic Medicine, 83(1), 85-95.
- Fieller, E.C. (1954). Some problems in interval estimation. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B, 16(2), 175-185.
- Goldacre, B. (2009). Funding and findings: the impact factor. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2009/feb/14/bad-science-medicalresearch
- Grant, J. (1999). Evaluating the outcomes of biomedical research on healthcare. Research Evaluation, 8(1), 33-38.
- Grant, J., Cottrell, R., Cluzeau, F., & Fawcett, G. (2000). Evaluating "payback" on biomedical research from papers cited in clinical guidelines: applied bibliometric study. Bmj, 320(7242), 1107-1111.
- Hutchins, B.I., Yuan, X., Anderson, J.M., Santangelo, G.M. (2016). Relative Citation Ratio (RCR): A new metric that uses citation rates to measure influence at the article level. PLoS Biology 14(9): e1002541. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002541
- Jefferson, T., Di Pietrantonj, C., Debalini, M. G., Rivetti, A., & Demicheli, V. (2009a). Inactivated influenza vaccines: methods, policies, and politics. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 62(7), 677-686.
- Jefferson, T., Di Pietrantonj, C., Debalini, M. G., Rivetti, A., & Demicheli, V. (2009b). Relation of study quality, concordance, take home message, funding, and impact in studies of influenza vaccines: systematic review. BMJ, 338, b354.

- Kostoff, R. N. (1998). The use and misuse of citation analysis in research evaluation. Scientometrics, 43(1), 27-43.
- Kryl, D., Allen, L., Dolby, K., Sherbon, B., & Viney, I. (2012). Tracking the impact of research on policy and practice: investigating the feasibility of using citations in clinical guidelines for research evaluation. BMJ Open, 2(2), e000897.
- Lewison, G., & Dawson, G. (1998). The effect of funding on the outputs of biomedical research. Scientometrics, 41(1-2), 17-27.
- Lewison, G., & Sullivan, R. (2008). The impact of cancer research: how publications influence UK cancer clinical guidelines. British Journal of Cancer, 98(12), 1944-1950.
- Moed, H. F., & Visser, M. S. (2008). Appraisal of citation data sources. Centre for Science and Technology Studies, Leiden University. Retrieved November 29, 2014, from <u>http://www.hefce.ac.uk/media/hefce/content/pubs/indirreports/2008/missing/Appra</u> <u>isal%20of%20Citation%20Data%20Sources.pdf</u>.
- Narin, F., Pinski, G., & Gee, H. H. (1976). Structure of the biomedical literature. Journal of the American Society for Information Science, 27(1), 25-45.
- Priem, J., Taraborelli, D., Groth, P., & Neylon, C. (2010). Altmetrics: A manifesto. http://altmetrics.org/manifesto/
- Scarlat, M. M., Mavrogenis, A. F., Pećina, M., & Niculescu, M. (2015). Impact and alternative metrics for medical publishing: Our experience with international orthopaedics. International Orthopaedics, 39(8), 1459-1464.
- Schekman, R., & Patterson, M. (2013). Reforming research assessment. Elife, 2, e00855.
- Small, H., Sweeney, E., & Greenlee, E. (1985). Clustering the Science Citation Index using cocitations. II. Mapping science. Scientometrics, 8(5-6), 321-340.
- Thelwall, M. & Kousha, K. (2016). Are citations from clinical trials evidence of higher impact research? An analysis of ClinicalTrials.gov. Scientometrics, 109(2), 1341-1351. doi:10.1007/s11192-016-2112-1
- Thelwall, M. & Maflahi, N. (2016). Guideline references and academic citations as evidence of the clinical value of health research. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 67(4), 960-966. doi:10.1002/asi.23432
- Thelwall, M. & Stuart, D. (2006). Web crawling ethics revisited: Cost, privacy and denial of service. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 57(13), 1771-1779.
- Thelwall, M. (2016a). Citation count distributions for large monodisciplinary journals. Journal of Informetrics, 10(3), 863-874. doi:10.1016/j.joi.2016.07.006
- Thelwall, M. (2016b). Are there too many uncited articles? Zero inflated variants of the discretised lognormal and hooked power law distributions. Journal of Informetrics, 10(2), 622-633. doi:10.1016/j.joi.2016.04.014
- Thelwall, M. (2017). Web indicators for research evaluation: A practical guide. San Rafael, CA: Morgan & Claypool.
- Thelwall, M. (in press). Three practical field normalised alternative indicator formulae for research evaluation. Journal of Informetrics. 10.1016/j.joi.2016.12.002
- Waltman, L., van Eck, N. J., van Leeuwen, T. N., Visser, M. S., & van Raan, A. F. (2011a). Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. Journal of Informetrics, 5(1), 37-47.
- Waltman, L., van Eck, N. J., van Leeuwen, T. N., Visser, M. S., & van Raan, A. F. (2011b). Towards a new crown indicator: An empirical analysis. Scientometrics, 87(3), 467-481.

 Wilsdon, J., Allen, L., Belfiore, E., Campbell, P., Curry, S., Hill, S., et al. (2015). The metric tide: Report of the independent review of the role of metrics in research assessment and management.

http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/rereports/Year/2015/metrictide/Title,104463,en.html

Appendix

This appendix includes article types for articles cited in AHFS DI Essentials but with low Scopus citation counts, relative to the field and year of publication. Each table reports one of the seven journals not discussed in the main body of the article (Tables A1-A7).

Table A1. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *New England Journal of Medicine*.

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
				Clinical
2008	35	0.75	Urinary stress incontinence in women	Practice
				Clinical
2009	50	0.83	Activated protein C for sepsis	therapeutics
			Topical 0.5% ivermectin lotion for treatment of head	Article
2012	35	0.84	lice	
				Clinical
2005	66	0.87	Acne	Practice
				Clinical
2002	84	0.88	Head lice	Practice
				Clinical
2009	66	0.89	Fungal nail disease	Practice
			Single-dose azithromycin for the treatment of	Article
2006	79	0.93	cholera in adults	
			Antivenom for critically ill children with	Article
2009	82	0.93	neurotoxicity from scorpion stings	
			Tissue plasminogen activator in cardiac arrest with	Article
2002	131	0.97	pulseless electrical activity	
			Cost effectiveness of oral as compared with	Special
			intravenous antibiotic therapy for patients with	Report
1997	43	0.97	early lyme disease or lyme arthritis	
				Clinical
2010	85	0.98	Retinal-vein occlusion	Practice
				Clinical
2003	165	1.00	Prolactinoma	Practice

The specialist journal Circulation has classification problems because some (three) of the articles in this journal are not standard journal articles. Several have the now discontinued subtype, Clinical Investigation and Reports, which is probably equivalent to a traditional journal article (Table **A2**). Similar classification errors also occurred in some of the remaining articles in this journal.

	Scopus	Norm.	Article	Type in journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Guided antithrombotic therapy: Current	Special Report
			status and future research direction: Report	
			on a national heart, lung and blood institute	
2012	13	0.76	working group	
			Prognostic significance of thrombocytopenia	Clinical
			during hirudin and heparin therapy in acute	Investigation and
			coronary syndrome without ST elevation:	Reports
			Organization to assess strategies for	
2001	41	0.83	ischemic syndromes (OASIS-2) study	
			Survival outcomes 1 year after reperfusion	Clinical
			therapy with either alteplase or reteplase for	Investigation and
			acute myocardial infarction: Results from the	Reports
			global utilization of streptokinase and t-PA	
			for occluded coronary arteries (GUSTO) III	
2000	44	0.86	trial	
			The use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory	AHA Science
			drugs (NSAIDs): A Science Advisory from the	Advisory
2005	51	0.88	American Heart Association	
			Stroke in patients with acute coronary	Clinical
			syndromes: Incidence and outcomes in the	Investigation and
			platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in unstable	Reports
			angina: Receptor suppression using integrilin	
1999	57	0.92	therapy (PURSUIT) trial	
			The use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory	AHA Scientific
			drugs (NSAIDs): A Science Advisory from the	Statements
2003	85	0.98	American Heart Association	

Table A2. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *Circulation*.

Table A3. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *BMJ*.

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Drug points: Fatal erythroderma associated with	Article
1999	3	0.35	pentostatin	
				Education
			Addison's disease presenting as reduced insulin	and
1996	18	0.77	requirement in insulin dependent diabetes	Debate
			Simultaneous immunisation with influenza vaccine and	Article
			pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in patients with	
1997	23	0.81	chronic respiratory disease	
			Metabolic decompensation in pump users due to lispro	Article
2002	28	0.81	insulin precipitation	
			Drug points: Cholestatic hepatitis in association with	
2001	35	0.87	celecoxib	
			Hypersensitivity reactions to human papillomavirus	Article
			vaccine in Australian schoolgirls: Retrospective cohort	
2008	29	0.88	study	
			Acute angle closure glaucoma associated with	Article
1997	38	0.93	paroxetine	

Table A4. Top 20 articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *Clinical Infectious Diseases*.

	Scopus	Norm.	Article	Type in journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Early initiation of antiretroviral therapy for infection	Article
			with human immunodeficiency virus: Considerations	
1996	2	0.37	in 1996	
			Outcomes among inmates treated for	Article
			coccidioidomycosis at a correctional institution during	
2009	3	0.38	a community outbreak, kern county, California, 2004	
			Measurement of human immunodeficiency virus	Brief report
			(HIV) type 1 RNA load distinguishes progressive	
			infection from nonprogressive HIV-1 infection in men	
1997	5	0.56	and women	
1997	5	0.56	Cerebral relapse of sarcoidlike Whipple's disease	Article
			Anaphylaxis upon switching lipid-containing	Brief report
1998	8	0.69	amphotericin B formulations	
			Fluconazole-resistant Candida parapsilosis fungemia	Brief report
1996	7	0.69	in a patient with AIDS	
			Cardiac arrhythmias associated with coadministration	Correspondence
			of azole compounds and cisapride [4] (multiple	
1997	9	0.72	letters)	
			Hydroxyurea toxicity in human immunodeficiency	Brief report
1999	10	0.75	virus-positive patients	
2009	15	0.76	Cefepime therapy and all-cause mortality	View point
			Recurrent iritis after intravenous administration of	Brief report
1997	11	0.78	cidofovir	
			Rhodococcus equi nosocomial meningitis cured by	Brief report
2000	17	0.81	levofloxacin and shunt removal	
			Hydroxyurea-induced hepatitis in human	Brief report
1999	13	0.82	immunodeficiency virus-positive patients	
			Primary lamivudine resistance in acute/early human	Brief report
1999	13	0.82	immunodeficiency virus infection	
			Failure of treatment for chronic Mycobacterium	Brief report
			abscessus meningitis despite adequate clarithromycin	
2001	20	0.85	levels in cerebrospinal fluid	
1007	45	0.07	A fluconazole/amitriptyline drug interaction in three	Brief report
1997	15	0.87	male adults	
2004	22	0.00	Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of levofloxacin in	Brief report
2001	22	0.88	patients with spontaneous acute bacterial meningitis	
2010	10	0.00	I wo cases of daptomycin-induced eosinophilic	Brief report
2010	19	0.89	preumonia and chronic pneumonitis	Dulafaanset
1000	47	0.04	Successful treatment of primary Actinomyces viscosus	Brief report
1998	1/	0.91	endocarditis with third-generation cephalosporins	Dui of non-ut
2001	25	0.01	Nermectin treatment of a traveler who returned from	Brief report
2001	25	0.91	Peru with cutaneous gnathostomiasis.	Antiala
2000	25	0.02	Cerebrospinal fluid penetration of high doses of	Article
2000	25	0.92	intravenous ciprofioxacin in meningitis	

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			A randomized Multicenter Trial of Crotalinae polyvalent	Article
			immune Fab (ovine) antivenom for the treatment for	
2001	6	0.48	crotaline snakebite in the United States	
				Clinical
1998	22	0.76	A drug interaction between zafirlukast and theophylline	Observation
			Fluconazole as prophylaxis against fungal infection in	Article
1997	24	0.79	patients with advanced HIV infection	
1996	25	0.81	Severe hyponatremia during therapy with fluoxetine	Article
			Bortezomib-induced severe hepatitis in multiple	Clinical
2005	36	0.82	myeloma: A case report	Observation
			Clinical ergotism with lingual ischemia induced by	Article
1996	28	0.84	clarithromycin-ergotamine interaction	
			Selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition and cardiovascular	Article
2005	57	0.93	effects: An observational study of a medicaid population	
			Safety and efficacy of meloxicam in the treatment of	Article
			osteoarthritis: A 12-week, double-blind, multiple-dose,	
2000	64	0.94	placebo-controlled trial	

Table A5. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *Archives of Internal Medicine/JAMA Internal Medicine*.

Table A6. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than
average for the publication year in <i>Pediatrics</i> .

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Clinical and pathologic aspects of cardiomyopathy from	Article
			ipecac administration in Munchausen's syndrome by	
1996	22	0.84	proxy	
			Combination therapy with stavudine and didanosine in	Article
			children with advanced human immunodeficiency virus	
			infection: Pharmacokinetic properties, safety, and	
1996	32	0.94	immunologic and virologic effects	
1996	35	0.96	Use of diet history in the screening of iron deficiency	Article
				Experience
			Recurrent thrombocytopenic purpura after repeated	and
1996	38	0.98	measles-mumps-rubella vaccination	Reason
			Methylene blue-induced phototoxicity: An unrecognized	Article
1996	40	0.99	complication	
			Needle length and injection technique for efficient	Experience
			intramuscular vaccine delivery in infants and children	and
			evaluated through an ultrasonographic determination of	Reason
1997	44	0.99	subcutaneous and muscle layer thickness	
			Assessment of medical personnel exposure to nitrogen	Article
			oxides during inhaled nitric oxide treatment of neonatal	
1999	15	0.71	and pediatric patients	
			Thalidomide responsiveness in an infant with Behcet's	Experience
1999	34	0.91	syndrome	and

				Reason
			Prevention of poliomyelitis: Recommendations for use	Guideline
			of only inactivated poliovirus vaccine for routine	
1999	40	0.95	immunization	
			Fomepizole treatment of ethylene glycol poisoning in an	Case
2000	15	0.69	infant	Report
			Severe ethylene glycol ingestion treated without	Case
2001	30	0.83	hemodialysis	Reports
			Insulin lispro lowers postprandial glucose in prepubertal	Article
2001	61	1.00	children with diabetes	
			Interchangeability of 2 diphtheria-tetanus-acellular	Clinical
2002	21	0.74	pertussis vaccines in infancy	Trial
			Crotaline Fab antivenom for the treatment of children	Article
2002	45	0.92	with rattlesnake envenomation	
			Terbinafine in the treatment of Trichophyton tinea	Article
			capitis: A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group,	
2002	53	0.96	duration-finding study	
			Risk Factors for Emesis after Therapeutic Use of	Article
2004	19	0.74	Activated Charcoal in Acutely Poisoned Children	
			Infant botulism: A 30-year experience spanning the	Article
			introduction of botulism immune globulin intravenous in	
2007	33	0.97	the intensive care unit at Childrens Hospital Los Angeles	
			Coadministration of RIX4414 oral human rotavirus	Article
			vaccine does not impact the immune response to	
			antigens contained in routine infant vaccines in the	
2008	24	0.87	united states	
			Safety and immunogenicity of concureent	Article
			administration of live attenuated influenza vaccine with	
			measles-mumps-rubella and varicella vaccines to infants	
2008	26	0.89	12 to 15 months of age	

			Article	Type in
	Scopus	Norm.		journal
Year	citations	cit.		website
			Ancillary benefits of Mycobacterium avium-	Article
			intracellulare complex prophylaxis with	
1997	6	0.58	clarithromycin in HIV-infected patients	
2014	3	0.59	Suvorexant: First global approval	Article
			Crofelemer: A review of its use in the management	Article
			of non-infectious diarrhoea in adult patients with	
2013	4	0.62	HIV/AIDS on antiretroviral therapy	
				Adis Drug
2012	5	0.68	Aclidinium: In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Profile
				Adis Insight
2015	2	0.69	Evolocumab: First Global Approval	Report
			Isosorbide 5-mononitrate. A review of a sustained-	Adis Drug
			release formulation (Imdur [®]) in stable angina	Evaluation
1999	15	0.79	pectoris	
			Recent antiplatelet drug trials in the acute coronary	Leading
			syndromes. Clinical interpretation of PRISM, PRISM-	Article
1998	19	0.83	PLUS, PARAGON A and PURSUIT	
			Clinical efficacy of metformin against insulin	Review
1999	18	0.84	resistance parameters sinking the iceberg	Article
				Adis New
2001	24	0.85	Fulvestrant	Drug Profile
			Topotecan: A review of its potential in advanced	Adis Drug
1998	22	0.87	ovarian cancer	Evaluation
			Pharmacological Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders	Article
			in Children and Adolescents: Focus on Guidelines for	
1996	19	0.87	the Primary Care Practitioner	
				Adis Insight
2015	3	0.88	Alirocumab: First Global Approval	Report
				R&D Insight
2014	7	0.89	Vorapaxar: First global approval	Report
				Therapy in
2001	28	0.89	Thalidomide in gastrointestinal disorders	Practice
				Disease
1996	22	0.91	Head and Neck Cancer: Guidelines for Chemotherapy	Management
			Current recommendations for the management of	Disease
1997	24	0.96	bladder cancer: Drug therapy	Management
				Adis New
2001	40	0.98	Desloratadine	Drug Profile
				Disease
1998	35	0.99	Management of malignant pleural effusions	Management

Table A7. All articles referenced by AHFS DI Essentials but attracting fewer citations than average for the publication year in *Drugs*.